

UNITED STATES COURT OF VETERANS APPEALS

No. 95-47

PATRICK F. BLACK, JR.,

APPELLANT,

v.

VA FILE NO. 6 641 093

JESSE BROWN,
SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS,

APPELLEE.

Before NEBEKER, *Chief Judge*, and KRAMER, FARLEY,
HOLDAWAY, IVERS, and STEINBERG, *Judges*.

O R D E R

On consideration of the request by a judge for en banc review of this matter, it not appearing that review by the full Court is necessary either to address a question of exceptional importance to the administration of laws affecting veterans' benefits or to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions, it is

ORDERED that the request for en banc review is DENIED.

DATED: June 17, 1997

PER CURIAM.

KRAMER and STEINBERG, Judges, dissenting: For the reasons set forth in Judge Kramer's dissenting opinion to the panel opinion and because we believe that the panel opinion departs from the Court's established precedent we voted for en banc review. See U.S. Vet.App.35 (c)(full Court review to "maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions"). We believe that the case should be remanded for adjudication of the appellant's well-grounded claim.